

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/693,283 | 10/24/2003 | Nozomu Matsukawa | 10873.1179USW1 | 4128 |
| 7: | 590 11/18/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| • | nann, Mueller & Lar | PADGETT, MARIANNE L | | |
| P.O. Box 2902-0902 Minneapolis, MN 55402 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1762 | |
| | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | • | | 71_ | | | |
|--|---|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| - | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 10/693,283 | MATSUKAWA ET | AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Marianne L. Padgett | 1762 | | | | |
| Dania d fa | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | • | | | |
| 1)[汉] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Se</u> | entember 2005 | | | | | |
| | | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| /— |) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 12-14 is/are pending in the application | 1. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| - | ☐ Claim(s) 12-14 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | jected to. See 37 CF | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PT | TO-152. | | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| _ | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | |)-152) | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | atom r wpiloddon (i 10 | , .u <u>.</u> j | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/693,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skinner et al (2002/0068132 A1), considering Takagi et al (4,395,465) or Kawawake et al (6,535,362 B2), further in view of Kawawake et al (6,245,450 B1) or Nishioka et al (5,648,885) previously discussed in the action nailed 6/3/05.

Applicants amendments have clarified their process to distinctly require the steps be performed in the order listed which removes the "optionally" of the previous retraction, however doing things in order does not necessarily exclude overlapping or simultaneous effects of consecutively listed steps.

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skinner et al, optionally in view of Kawawake et al (362) or Takagi et al, and in view of Kawawake et al (450) or Nishioka et al applied to claims 12 and 14 above, and further in view of Takagi et al, introduced and discussed in the action mailed 6/3/05.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/2/2005 & partially discussed above have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Well applicants earmarks note that the first TD may improve wire resistance and reduce distortion of the underlying film, the underlying film is of particular material or function hence a benefit accrued to some specific material has little meaning to the generically claimed layer, and such benefits could be expected to be a currently available due to the heating caused by the irradiation in the above applied combination of references.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne L. Padgett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1425. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from about 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks, can be reached at (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,283

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1762

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

MLP 11/14/2005

MARIANNE PADGETT PRIMARY EXAMINER